

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,907	0	7/24/2001	Kazuto Hirokawa	2001_1050A	2786	
513	7590	10/26/2004		EXAMINER		
WENDER 2033 K STI		D & PONAC	RACHUBA, MAURINA T			
SUITE 800		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20006-1021	3723			

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/1/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/910,907	HIROKAWA ET AL	<b></b>				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M Rachuba	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory.  The statutory is a statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory is a statutory minimum of the statutory m	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 2 June 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 38-72</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 38-51</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-72</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• • •						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers			·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) □ Intonéo.	w Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/910,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of group IV in the reply filed on 17 September 2003 is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 38-51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 17 September 2003.

### Comments on Interview of 15 July 2004

4. The interview summary detailing the interview of 15 July 2004 states that the amendment overcame the rejection under 35 USC 102 over James. After a thorough review of the record, the examiner has determined that the amendment would not overcome the rejection, for the reasons set forth in the Response to Arguments section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 52-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by James et al, US006069080A, as set forth in the Office action mailed 22 December 2003.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,907 Page 3

The second secon

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

**Art Unit: 3723** 

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 22 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that '080 discloses fabricating particle clusters and then fabricating polishing tools from the particle clusters. It is not clear how this is different from applicant's claimed invention. The apparent difference between '080 and applicant's claimed invention is whether the powder resulting from mixing abrasive and resin with a liquid and then drying to remove the liquid is made up of individual, separate particles or of a plurality of particles. It is the examiner's position that the disclosure of '080 anticipates applicant's invention as claimed. Further, applicant argues that '080 does not disclose that the resultant mixed powders are heated and pressed in the mold so as to control the porosity of the tool in a certain range. The examiner disagrees. Note the discussion, column 13, lines 55 through column 14, lines 36.
- 8. Applicant further argues that in '080, the particle clusters are incorporated into a polishing layer matrix by mixing the clusters into a flowable polishing layer matrix precursor and solidifying the matrix by curing, cooling or any other solidification operation, including molding. The mixture is allowed to gel and cure at elevated temperatures. Applicant further states that the claimed invention does not mix the powders with other components before molding (it is the mixed powders that are molded under heat). However, applicant's claims are open-ended ("comprising") such that other materials may be included in the mix of powders. Further, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that the mixed powders are not incorporated

Application/Control Number: 09/910,907

**Art Unit: 3723** 

with any other material) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is 703-308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 09/910,907

**Art Unit: 3723** 

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba Primary Patent Examiner 10/21/04